

October 11, 2016 – AGENDA SESSION

President Schroth called the meeting to order at 6:31 p.m. and read the Open Public Meeting Statement:

The notice requirements provided for in the “Open Public Meetings Act” have been satisfied. Notice of this meeting was properly given in a notice which was transmitted to the Times of Trenton and the Trentonian, filed with the Clerk of the Township of Ewing and posted in the Ewing Township Municipal Complex, all on the 4th day of January, 2016.

THE PUBLIC WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL DURING THE “STATEMENTS AND COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC” SEGMENT OF THE MEETING. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE REQUESTED TO SIGN IN ON THE SHEET PROVIDED IN THE FRONT OF THE ROOM. ALL QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE COUNCIL PRESIDENT, WHEN ADDRESSING THE COUNCIL, PLEASE GIVE YOUR NAME AND YOUR ADDRESS.

THE COUNCIL INVITES AND ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION BY THE PUBLIC IN ITS MEETINGS, HOWEVER A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC MAY UTILIZE FIVE MINUTES OF TIME FOR REMARKS AND QUESTIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE ENGAGED IN A DIALOGUE WITH A MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL. ANY FURTHER REMARKS OR QUESTIONS BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT MUST BE AUTHORIZED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL.

ROLL CALL

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ▪ Mr. Baxter – Present | Jim McManimon, Administrator |
| ▪ Ms. Keyes-Maloney – Present | Maeve Cannon, Attorney |
| ▪ Ms. Steward – Present | Kim Macellaro, Municipal Clerk |
| ▪ Ms. Wollert – Present | |
| ▪ President Schroth – Present | |

PRESENTATION

1. Mike Stoller (EMEX) – Energy Auction for Natural Gas & Street Lighting

President Schroth said that we were to have a presentation from Mike Stoller (EMEX) regarding the energy auction for natural gas and street lighting. Mr. Stoller is unable to be here tonight so Administration will give us an overview.

The Administrator said that he sat in on these two auctions with the CFO and the QPA. Council has been provided a memo from the CFO along with other documents concerning the auction. The Township will save about \$4,000 per year if the Township goes forward with the lighting auction rate. It is a slight reduction from what we were paying in the last contract. It will be a two year contract. The Administration recommends that Council approve this because it is lower than the current PSE&G rate and locks us in at this rate for the next two years. From a budgeting perspective, it is a good thing to have a locked-in rate. The gas auction came in at just about the average rate we currently get from PSE&G. The recommendation is that we lock the rate in for two years. Auction advisors think the market, over the next two years, will be fairly stable because they are closing two coal burning plants including the one on Duck Island. The bid is a combination of green energy, coal and various other types of energy that bring the rate down. As the push is to get away from coal, there will be greater demand for gas. There will also be debates as to how to generate that gas. Experts predict that there will be an up and down rate cycle and recommend that we lock in the rate. The Administrator added that he and the CFO are already working on next year’s Budget and it would be nice not to have to worry about budgeting for an automatic two percent increase. Fourteen companies bid. This is the third year the Township has gone out to bid and each time we have been able to save money. The reason it needs to be discussed and voted on tonight, is that these rates are guaranteed tomorrow.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney clarified that we are doing this for lighting because the auction rate came in significantly below market and we are doing this for natural gas because it will lock us into the auction rate for twenty-four months.

The Administrator replied that that is correct. It is an average of the PSE&G rates. It will give us a fixed rate. The Administrator explained that the PSE&G rate changes daily; you get a bill each month based on the average of the rates.

President Schroth asked if this rate is on the low end of the range.

The Administrator replied that it is not the lowest but is to the left of center. The speculation is where do we go with future energy needs as coal is getting pushed back.

Councilman Baxter asked is there any way that we can start to get better lighting in the Town as a whole.

The Administrator replied that the new lights PSE&G installed are energy efficient lights. The light used to spread out and light up the streets. These new lights just shine straight down. If we want to request more powerful lights, the Township bill will increase.

Councilman Baxter commented that these solar lights just do not seem to work well. The Township needs to do something - switch to LED lighting or add poles. We would need to figure out the cost before we buy the new poles; perhaps we could capitalize it.

The Administrator replied that since PSE&G owns the poles and lights we cannot capitalize it. The Township only pays for the energy. The Administrator said that he understands the concerns of the Public. The Township requires a light every one hundred feet. If more are requested, the developer would have to pay for them. The Township is trying to brighten up our property having put in LED lights at the Municipal Building and the Ewing Senior and Community Center. LED lights will be at Hollowbrook as well. And, we are talking about putting LED lights in Township parks.

Councilman Baxter commented that there has to be some kind of way to add lights. These neighborhoods are pitch black.

The Administrator replied that he will continue to have discussions with PSE&G. But, it is the same as with painting the yellow and white lines on the roads. We are not allowed to use the really bright paint anymore. It is environmentally insensitive. So, you use environmentally friendly paint that you can hardly see. It is the same with the lights from PSE&G; environmentally friendly, but the light just goes straight down.

President Schroth asked if adding LED lights at Hollowbrook is part of the current renovation project.

The Administrator replied – yes. The outside lights will be added last after all the other work is done.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council. There were no questions or comments from the Public.

This item was approved for action.

DISCUSSION

1. BEST PRACTICES WORKSHEET CY2015

DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, NO ACTION REQUIRED

The Administrator explained that there were thirty questions on this Best Practices Worksheet. One question did not apply to Ewing. There were five “noes” and twenty-four “yeses” which came out to about eighty-six percent. Below eighty percent, the Town would be at risk of losing State aid. The State is forcing municipalities to do more shared services. If a town does not have a shared service every year, then that question is lost. We are in talks with the County for some additional shared services for next year. This “Best Practices” has been in place for about ten years. The Administrator stated that he does not want to be a Town that loses State aid, so we will continue to work hard. One of the things in here that they ask is for towns to review their sewer authorities, their water authorities, and their redevelopment agencies for consideration of Council taking back control. Ewing is not going there yet, so that was one of the “noes”.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney said that it is her understanding that the questions change year to year depending on what DLGS considers appropriate to focus on.

The Administrator explained the process of how these questions are determined and how some questions might not apply as it depends on the form of government.

President Schroth asked what is the most recent or newest thing that Ewing has done as far as shared services is concerned.

The Administrator replied that we never took credit for a shared service with EASEL and then listed some of the current shared services – with the County for the Health Officer and nurses and with Trenton for lead abatement.

Vice President Steward mentioned tuberculosis monitoring with the County.

The Administrator replied that that will be for next year.

Vice President Steward pointed out that for question #30, the date of the meeting needs to be filled out.

President Schroth offered congratulations on staying above eighty percent.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council. There were no questions or comments from the Public.

2. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE REVISED GENERAL ORDINANCES OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EWING IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER, TO AMEND CHAPTER 225-59 SCHEDULE XII: STOP INTERSECTIONS TO ADD STOP SIGNS ON BROAD AVENUE, WESTERN AVENUE AND FLORENCE AVENUE AS THEY APPROACH BRENWAL AVENUE

The Attorney explained that these are recommendations from the Township Safety Officer in the Police Department. Sight lines are impaired at these intersections. The Township Engineer checked that these recommendations were consistent with DOT practices.

President Schroth said, for the record, that we are talking about “sight lines”. A stop sign is necessary because you just can not see as you approach these intersections.

Councilman Baxter commented that there were some stop signs on Brenwal that were taken down. Some neighbors complained. Councilman Baxter thanked the Administration for following up on this.

The Administrator responded that when we looked at some of these intersections, an Ordinance was never passed to have stop signs. If there had been an accident, but no approved Ordinance, then a ticket for running a stop sign could not be issued. The new Traffic Officer went out and studied sight lines.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council. There were no questions or comments from the Public.

3. A RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION 16R-149 AND CANCEL INCLUSION OF BULLET PROOF VEST PARTNERSHIP GRANT - \$1,380.12 IN THE 2016 MUNICIPAL BUDGET

The Attorney explained that this is a clean-up of unused grant money. There is excess money in the account. The money has to be affirmatively removed from the account.

The Administrator added that it is \$1,380.12.

Rebecca Lynn (1001 River Road) asked if the grant money was used for what it was intended.

The Administrator replied that it was for bullet proof vests. This is the balance left in the grant. We have a right to transfer the money back into the use for what the grant was intended for. We have to get approval from Council because it was not expended in the original round of the grant. We either have to give the money back or get reapproval to spend it for more bullet proof vests.

Councilman Baxter stated that the bullet proof vests were purchased.

The Administrator replied that each year we get a grant for vests. Vests have to be replaced after awhile; retired vests can not be reused.

Councilman Baxter asked, on average, how much does one bullet proof vest cost.

The Administrator replied that he believes it costs about \$800.00 and stated that he will get Councilman Baxter the exact amount.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney said that this is a reoccurring grant program we get from the State on an annual basis. So, it is evergreen in terms of the appropriations that come in on an annual basis.

The Attorney replied that that is true. It is just a question of keeping the account current and canceling out the old balances.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council or the Public.

4. A RESOLUTION REQUESTING APPROVAL OF ITEMS OF REVENUE AND APPROPRIATION NJS 40A:4-87

The Administrator explained that one is the Sprint grant that we get each year. It is usually used by the Police Department for various technology equipment. The second one is an emergency management assistance grant to purchase emergency management supplies.

Councilman Baxter asked if this grant can help sponsor emergency management “table top” exercises.

The Administrator replied - yes, and it can also be used to pay the fee for the Emergency Management Director to attend training exercises.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council. There were no questions or comments from the Public.

5. A RESOLUTION TO DISSOLVE EWING TOWNSHIP’S DISASTER RELIEF FUND AS APPROVED BY DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES UNDER N.J.S.A. 40A:5-29 ACCEPTANCE OF BEQUESTS, LEGACIES, GIFTS

President Schroth said that this was a fund created to benefit the victims of the gas explosion at Southfork.

The Attorney added that this is for \$68.61 which is interest that accumulated on the account. The proceeds of the account have all been distributed to the victims. This is closing out the account.

The Council President asked if there was any benefit to keeping this account open.

The Attorney responded – no. If there was another disaster, we would ask the State permission to set up another new separate account.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council.

A member of the Public commented that it was difficult to hear the Attorney’s comments. The Attorney moved the microphone closer to her.

There were no additional questions or comments from members of the Public.

6. A RESOLUTION GRANTING JASON ULRICH PERMISSION TO RECEIVE HIS SERVICE FIREARM (GLOCK 21, .45 CAL. SEMI-AUTOMATIC PISTOL SERIAL NUMBER RYA-931) UPON HIS RETIREMENT FROM THE EWING TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT OCTOBER 1, 2016

The Council President stated that this is fairly routine. We have been doing this for years. The Officer receives his pistol upon retirement.

The Attorney added that those service firearms could not be resused; they are retired with the Officer.

There were no questions or comments from Council or the Public.

7. A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF LEGISLATION REQUIRING DOT TO COMPENSATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR CONTRACTUAL DELAYS DUE TO SHUTDOWN OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FUNDED BY PROCEEDS OF THE TRANSPORTATION TRUST FUND AND ALLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO FUND TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS WITHOUT PENALTY

President Schroth stated that this is an item that has been widely publicized and in the news over the last few weeks due to the suspension of funding for road projects as a result of the temporary depletion of funds in the Transportation Trust Fund. The Attorney said that this is a statement resolution endorsing action by the Legislature to allow municipalities to recover lost funds due to this Transportation Trust Fund shutdown.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney commented that this Resolution was very “cute” up until recent action by the Legislature because of either potential penalties because some municipalities proceeded to do the work in good faith or chose not to because they were worried about whether or not DOT would reimburse and then suffered the slings and arrows of potential contract issues because they failed to proceed with the project. What is interesting about this legislation is that it sets a new standard. If something like this were to happen again with the Transportation Trust Fund, we have an answer as to what municipalities can and can not do.

President Schroth said that the recent action Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney referred to is the twenty-three cent gas hike.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council. There were no questions or comments from the Public.

8. A RESOLUTION CONSENTING TO THE APPOINTMENT OF JOHN M. EASON TO THE EWING TOWNSHIP ARTS COMMISSION

President Schroth stated that there is a vacancy on the Arts Commission. This gentleman comes to us highly qualified, highly recommended and highly interested in serving on this Commission.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney commented that his art is currently hanging at the Municipal Building. He is the showcase artist for this month. He does something called “fan art” which is an attribution to anything from Disney characters to superheroes or the like.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council.

David Lyons (530 Washington Avenue) asked if the art is for sale.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney replied that you can reach out to the individual artist to discuss if you want to purchase a piece.

There were no additional questions or comments from the Public.

All items were approved for action with the exception of the Best Practices item which, as noted, was for discussion purposes only.

BILLS LIST

1. A Resolution Authorizing the Chief Financial Officer to Pay Bills in the Amount of \$582,385.14 and to Pay Supplemental Bills per Resolution #16R-13 in the Amount of \$9,769.01

There were no questions or comments from Council or the Public.

The Bills List was approved for action.

CONSENT AGENDA

Council President Schroth presented the Consent Agenda for review.

1. Approval of Agenda Session Minutes for July 26, 2016; Approval of Regular Session Minutes for July 26, 2016
2. A Resolution Authorizing the Conduct of an Off-Premise 50/50 Cash Raffle Sponsored by BPOE #105 Trenton
3. A Resolution Authorizing the Conduct of an Off-Premise Merchandise Raffle Sponsored by Credit Union of New Jersey Foundation, Inc.

4. **A Resolution Authorizing the Conduct of an Off-Premise Merchandise Raffle Sponsored by Delta Sigma Theta Sorority Inc. Trenton Alumnae Chapter**
5. **A Resolution Authorizing the Conduct of a Bingo Sponsored by Ewing High School Project Graduation**

There were no questions or comments from Council or the Public.

The Consent Agenda was approved for action.

ORDINANCE(S) FOR FIRST READING AND INTRODUCTION

(None for this Meeting)

ORDINANCE(S) FOR SECOND READING, PUBLIC HEARING AND FINAL ADOPTION

1. **AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EWING, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER, AMENDING ZONING WITHIN THE TOWNSHIP**

President Schroth stated that this Ordinance was introduced for First Reading on September 13, 2016. It was referred to the Planning Board for a Master Plan consistency review and is now back before us with some changes recommended by the Planning Board. If Council decides to accept these changes, and they are de minimis, then a Public Hearing will be held and adoption of the Ordinance as amended.

Charles Latini (Zoning Officer) said that, as referred to the Planning Board, the Planning Board found the Ordinance consistent with the Master Plan based upon a number of findings written into the Resolution itself. All are advancing the six main goals of the Master Plan. Mr. Latini then described these six goals. The Board had a lively discussion, most of which were semantics about the Zone being underlying and effecting the Redevelopment Area. Since then a couple of tweaks were made clarifying exactly what the Ordinance is doing and what it is not to the Redevelopment Area along with reducing some ambiguity on some of the screening and buffering techniques that we put in the design standards. All in all these changes are in fact de minimis and nothing of true substance that would require a reissuing of the Ordinance.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney thanked the Planning Board for the mark-up. It was helpful in terms of clarifying the buffering and in terms of what you can have above the first floor – office or residential.

There were no additional questions or comments from Council.

Rebecca Lynn (1008 River Road) stated that she went to the Planning Board meeting and The Planning Board attorney stated that these were not de minimis changes.

Mr. Latini explained that the big difference was if we had to take the Redevelopment Area out of the Zone. Because right now, the way that the Town Center Zone encompasses the Town Center, which is basically the Redevelopment Area, board member Apicelli was concerned about whether or not if we change that zoning how would it effect the Redevelopment Area. In fact, it does not effect the Redevelopment Area because it supercedes all the zoning that is designated for that area. It has no bearing on the Redevelopment Area at all so there really is no change in that regard.

Ms. Lynn said that there was a lot of discussion during the Planning Board meeting that it was not a de minimis change. There was no resolution of this discussion at that meeting.

President Schroth stated that it is his recollection that Mr. Apicelli was very clear that he was simply asking questions; inquiring as to whether or not this had any kind of impact on it because the Town Center zone is zoned as IP (Industrial Park). Mr. Apicelli was not clear if that had any legal impact vis-à-vis the Redevelopment Plan and the Redevelopment Zone.

Mr. Latini added that if it was found to have had an impact, then it would not have been de minimis and it would have been a substantial legal issue.

Mr. Latini explained that one, the Redevelopment Area superceeds all the underlying zoning. And two, any approvals that the Planning Board gave on the Atlantic proposal for GM have a twenty year vesting right so no matter what we do to change anything, they have those rights in place.

Ms. Lynn asked if this is the same proposal that was put forth before and does not include the additional stuff discussed by the Planning Board.

President Schroth replied that there are some minor changes which are considered de minimis and thus does not change, in substance, what is before Council.

The Attorney then explained that if there had been substantial changes, it would not have to be sent back to the Planning Board because it had already had a consistency review. It would have to be readvertised for a second Public Hearing on the changes.

Mr. Latini then described the de minimis changes that came from the Planning Board. One change had to do with screening and buffering, another had to do with the service areas and a third dealt with outdoor storage areas.

Dave Lyons (530 Washington Avenue) asked who makes the determination whether something is considered de minimis.

The Attorney explained the meaning of de minimis and stated that, at times, it is a judgement call. Council makes the determination usually relying on the advice of Counsel.

Mr. Lyons and the Attorney then discussed this further.

There were no additional questions or comments from the Public.

This item was approved for action.

COMMISSION & COMMITTEE REPORTS FROM MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney reported that “Second Sunday” was rained out; we will try again in the Spring. “Second Sunday” was the Arts Commission partnering with the Farmer’s Market at Campus Town to provide an opportunity for local artists to display and potentially sell their work. As part of the Creative Assest Inventory, the Arts Commission is circling back to those members of the community who met with the Commission about a month ago in terms of what they would like to see with art in Ewing in the coming year. The hope is that it will help aid us in developing more strategically, what the Arts Commission should focus on. One item that came up was an “Open Mike Night”. We are hoping to do that at the end of this month for the first time in partnership with the 1867 Sanctuary. Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney encouraged members of the public to attend the Arts Commisstion meetings on Thursday at 7:00 p.m. The Historic Commission did not meet this past month. Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney reported that the Ewing Alcohol and Drug Alliance partnered with the Alpha Phi Omega fraternity (a co-ed service based organization at TCNJ) who partnered with EASAL to put on a 5K that was well attended and raised some decent money for the Animal Shelter.

Vice President Steward reported that the Green Team will be holding a couple of events: a shred day and prescription drug return on October 22 and a scarecrow contest on October 29th which is being held in conjunction with “Trunk or Treat”. The Green Team has been notified that Ewing Township has been awarded Silver Certification from Sustainable New Jersey and will be recognized at the League of Municipalities luncheon this year.

Councilwoman Wollert said that the next Senior Citizen meeting will be this Thursday. There will be a luncheon on November 18th as well as next Friday. There are a number of clubs and activities at the ESCC and we encourage Seniors to join these clubs and participate in these activities.

Councilman Baxter said that the Recreation Advisory Board met a couple of weeks ago and found a new member to fill the vacancy mentioned at the last Council meeting. He is a gentleman who attends a lot of the Senior events at the ESCC. Ted Forst asked him to be a part of the Recreation Advisory Board. He plays a lot of golf. Hopefully, he will be able to bring some of that expertise to help get our golf program up and running as it had to be cut last summer due to a lack of interest. Tuesday, November 1st is our President’s Meeting. The presidents’ of each league get together to discuss how their program did over the past year as well as to bounce ideas off each other.

Last year they decided to co-register; during basketball registration, football was discussed. Councilman Baxter stated that he hoped that this will continue as the number of enrollees increased across all sports.

The Administrator announced that tomorrow there is a flu clinic over at the Senior Center. It is free to Ewing residents. On Thursday, the County Clerk is holding a passport day and on October 22, there will be a Shred Day from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. at the Municipal Building. Vice President Steward added that the Green Team welcomes volunteers for this event.

There were no additional Commission and Committee reports from members of Council. There were no additional announcements from Administration.

NEW BUSINESS

(None for this Meeting)

STATEMENTS AND COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Dave Lyons (530 Washington Avenue) said that he went to the Planning Board meeting held a few days ago and commented that he was surprised that there was no section for "Public Comment". Mr. Lyons said that at this Planning Board meeting, the new zoning ordinance regarding alternative energy was mentioned. It would allow ground mounted solar arrays in R1, R2 and R3 zones. Mr. Lyons said that the Chair told him public comment was not allowed, but then asked him what was on his mind. Mr. Lyons said that tonight he wanted to explain his reasons why he believes ground mounted solar arrays should not be allowed because he was not able to fully explain at the Planning Board meeting. Mr. Lyons then gave his reasons.

Mr. Lyons then suggested that zoning ordinance titles be published in greater detail on the Agenda.

President Schroth responded that that is a valid point.

Ron Prykanowski (16 Thurston Avenue) commented that any gunsmith will tell you these service firearms can be recycled. It is just a long time Police Department policy not to do so. These firearms are municipal assets. When these weapons are upgraded, they use the current service firearms as trade-ins. They take them, rehab them and then they are back on the market somewhere else. There is a second life for them.

Mr. Prykanowski said that the Construction Office has cut back their hours to four days a week. It is an inconvenience to the Public and needs to be readdressed.

The Administrator responded that we just started it last week because we were dealing with so much overtime; the staff could not keep up with the workload. They are working five days a week, the Office is just not open to the Public so they can catch up. We have not consulted Council, it was an administrative decision. We will review it. We need to give it a little bit of time to see if it works. Notices were posted on the website and on the office door. Mr. Prykanowski suggested that completed inspection reports can be emailed or faxed and also suggested that another person be hired or flex time considered.

The Administrator responded that we just went to on-line access for viewing permit status. There is no more room in the Office to hire someone else. We are doing some flex time as we offer inspections on Saturday and Sundays. We would have to raise fees if we went to seven days a week in order to pay the overtime. It is a balance.

Rebecca Lynn (1008 River Road) and the Attorney first discussed, regarding raffles, whether it should be "off-premise" or "off-premises".

Ms. Lynn said that on the website, we are unable to access the senior advertisement for the current month because it is not on there. And, there are things on the website that are not up-to-date. Ms. Lynn stated that there is an IT department in the Municipality that we are paying a lot of money for and then asked Council to help with this.

President Schroth replied that the website has been dramatically improved and it is a work in progress, but we will check on all of that.

Ms. Lynn asked for clarification as to who in the Township is responsible for a "Request for Proposal" for the GM site because the Agency said that it was not them.

The Attorney responded that since the GM site is in the Redevelopment Area, it would be the Redevelopment Agency.

Ms. Lynn replied that the Agency said that it was the Administration.

The Administrator added that the Administration reviews it with the Redevelopment Agency but the application is first heard by the Agency.

Ms. Lynn then asked who says, “put out a request for a proposal for the GM site”?

President Schroth said that it is not Council.

The Administrator replied that it is the Administration in consultation with the Redevelopment Agency.

Councilwoman Keyes-Maloney explained that when you designate an “area in need of development” you vest that authority in the Redevelopment Agency to work in that domain.

Ms. Lynn said that it is her concern that the development on the GM site does not adhere to the current Township plan for the Redevelopment Area and asked why a new RFP was not put out after the economy turned around so we could actually get businesses on a business site.

A discussion followed between Ms. Lynn, the Administrator and the Council President regarding the effect all the new residential development will or will not have on Township schools.

There were no additional questions or comments from members of the Public.

CLOSED SESSION

(None for this Meeting)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, President Schroth asked for a motion to adjourn. Ms. Wollert so moved seconded by Ms. Steward. The meeting was adjourned at 7:55 p.m.

David P. Schroth, President

Kim J. Macellaro, Municipal Clerk